

THOMSON REUTERS STREETEVENTS

EDITED TRANSCRIPT

WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

EVENT DATE/TIME: OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM GMT



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

CORPORATE PARTICIPANTS

Rob Berkley *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

Rich Baio *W. R. Berkley Corporation - SVP and CFO*

Bill Berkley *W. R. Berkley Corporation - Executive Chairman*

CONFERENCE CALL PARTICIPANTS

Michael Nannizzi *Goldman Sachs - Analyst*

Kai Pan *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

Arash Soleimani *Keefe, Bruyette & Woods - Analyst*

Ryan Tunis *Credit Suisse - Analyst*

Jay Cohen *BofA Merrill Lynch - Analyst*

Ian Gutterman *Balyasny Asset Management LP - Analyst*

PRESENTATION

Operator

Good day, and welcome to W.R. Berkley Corporation's third-quarter 2016 earnings conference call. Today's conference call is being recorded.

The speakers' remarks may contain forward-looking statements. Some of the forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking words including without limitations, believes, expects, or estimates. We caution you that such forward-looking statements should not be regarded as representation by us that the future plans, estimates or expectations contemplated by us will in fact be achieved.

Please refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, and our other filings made with the SEC for a description of the business environment in which we operate, and the important factors that may materially affect our results. W.R. Berkley Corporation is not under any obligation and expressly disclaims any such obligation to update or alter its forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

I would now like to turn the call over to Mr. Rob Berkley, Jr. Please go ahead, sir.

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

Thank you, Karen, and good afternoon, everyone, and welcome to our third-quarter call. As in the past, on this end of the phone, I'm joined by Bill Berkley, our Executive Chairman; as well as Gene Ballard, our Executive Vice President; and Rich Baio, our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. The agenda for today is, I'm going to start out with some general comments about the market, and how we see things. Then I'm going to offer a few sound bites about our quarter, and then be handing it over to Rich to get into some more details around our quarter and the Company's performance specifically.

As far as the market goes, generally speaking, a continuation of what we've seen over the past few quarters. The marketplace overall is becoming incrementally more competitive. Reinsurance remains somewhat consistent, as it seems to bounce along the bottom, in search of a catalyst for change.

One of the interesting things about the reinsurance market, if you, putting aside property cat and actually peel back a few layers of the situation there, the loss ratios by and large are not particularly problematic. What is really driving is the ceding submissions that are creating a challenge for their economic model, from our perspective.



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

As it relates to the insurance marketplace, again a continuation of what we've seen over the past few quarters. The property market remains notably competitive. The workers' comp market, becoming incrementally more competitive again. I would suggest it's peaking, if you will. Professional again is a mixed bag, as it has been a few quarters, depending on the product. And finally, casualty continues to be the bright spot from our perspective.

While the marketplace overall continues to be almost ho-hum, or as I suggested earlier, a continuation of what we've seen for the past few quarters, from our perspective, actually the market is primed for significant change. There's been a fair chatter about this over the past couple of years, and we think that this is becoming closer and closer a reality.

And there are three areas in particular that I'd like to touch on today. One has to do with the shifting in the asset growth, and how historically, we as a society have seen much of our assets in a tangible form. And as we've seen the development of cyber insurance coming around, and we see that as just beginning to scratch the surface, the fact is that intellectual assets are going to become a growing class, and we as an industry need to find a ways to grapple with that, and help society figure out how they will manage that exposure. And again, I think that there is a great deal of room in that area for growth, and a lot of opportunity.

Second, something that we've talked about in the past, and that is the recognitions and the shift of behavior of customers, and how they want to transact, and how they'd like to be served in the future. And if personal lines or the consumer space is any type of leading indicator for the SME space, clearly the industry is ripe for quite a bit of disruption. From our perspective, the solution is going to be both distribution and carriers working hand in hand, trying to find ways to bring additional value in other ways, or new ways to customers. Otherwise, again, there will be great change, and those that do not adapt are going to be left behind.

And finally, again, a topic that has been discussed in the past, but is continuing to become more and more of a reality, and that is the evolution as it relates to the relationship between capital and expertise. We've seen this developing early on, in the reinsurance space.

It is our expectation that this is going to be spilling over more and more to the insurance space. And ultimately, we think this creates a real opportunity for organizations like ours, as capital becomes more and more of a commodity, and it's expertise and intellectual capital that is increasingly the differentiator.

Change is an interesting thing. In some ways, over a period of a year or two, it doesn't feel like much changes at all. Over a 5-, 7-, or 10-year period, it can be shocking actually how much can change. We as an industry have been somewhat insulated from certain types of change for many generations, and I think that is about to change over the next five, seven years or so.

With regards to our quarter, and again, Rich is going to get into the details, the growth came in at something just above 2%. That was somewhat offset or negatively impacted by FX. Putting that aside, there are parts of our business at any moment in time that are growing, there are other parts that are shrinking. That's the beauty of the diversity in the group.

In addition to that, I would tell you that there may be parts of our business that may appear as if they're shrinking from a premium perspective, but we're growing in a unit of exposure perspective, due to a feeling that there's appropriate margin available in the business. I would use worker's compensation as an example of that. On the other hand, the auto line would be an example of a place that we're probably shrinking, even more than it appears on the surface, because the rate increases that we're getting, so in fact our units of exposure are on the decline.

Wall Street showed coming in at [16].9%, was generally in speaking in line with our expectations. Though it was somewhat negatively impacted by some non-cat short-tail losses. And of course, the expense ratio at 33% was a modest improvement from the same period last year.

And this number tends to ebb and flow, depending on where we are in starting new businesses. As we've mentioned to you in the past, when we start new businesses, before they're operational, we hold those expenses at the holding company. Once the business are running business and operational, then their expenses will appear, and its expense ratio, along with of course their revenue.



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

On the investment front, it was a good quarter for a couple of different reasons, and hopefully more good news to come. It certainly would appear to be the case. Investment returns on the portfolio came in at 3.3%, which was an improvement of about 10 basis points from the same period last year. And this was achieved while the duration was maintained in three years. So kudos to my colleagues in the investment portfolio, and how they're managing the traditional portfolio.

Another comment that I would like to highlight on the topic of investments has to do with the alternative portfolio. As we talked about last quarter, or perhaps over the last couple of quarters, as interest rates came down, and available yields came under pressure with traditional alternatives, we started to look at a relatively modest percentage of the portfolio to alternative opportunities, specifically in real estate, as well as private equity.

As we allocated investable assets in those directions, we found ourselves in a position where we gave up investment income that would come into our operating numbers, and when we realized gains, they would come in through net income, but not into operating. It's been a little bit of a concern, because we're not sure that we've gotten full credit for this along the way, and people realize that from our perspective, what we've done in real estate, and what we've done in private equity really dovetails with our overall approach to managing the business, focused on total return.

What we do on the risk bearing side of the business, or the underwriting side if you'd like, as well as the investment side, both of those are grounded in a concept of risk-adjusted return. It's also worth mentioning that some of the expenses associated with the alternative investments come into operating income, though the gains do not. So I just thought that would be worth clarifying that.

Rich is going to be talking about some of the gains that we took in the quarter, as well as some of the gains that we're going to see coming through in the fourth quarter, as well. So I'm going to pause there, and I'll hand it over to Rich.

Rich Baio - W. R. Berkley Corporation - SVP and CFO

Thanks, Rob, appreciate it. For the third quarter, we reported net income of \$221 million, or \$1.72 per share, compared with the prior year's net income of \$153 million, or \$1.18 per share. The growth in net income was due to an increase in realized gains of \$122 million, primarily from the sale of our investment in Aero Precision Industries, and to an increase in investment income of \$12 million.

Those increases were partially offset by lower income from Aero Precision's operations due to its sale in August, higher start-up costs associated with new operations, including our previously announced high net worth business, and our other yet to be announced companies. Higher interest expense related to recent debt offerings, and to a decline in insurance service profits, and foreign currency gains. Our operating income for the third quarter was \$114 million or \$0.88 per share, compared with the prior year's operating earnings of \$119 million or \$0.92 per share.

Overall, our net premiums written increased by 2.3% to more than \$1.6 billion. The insurance segment represented the entirety of the increase, while the reinsurance segment was largely unchanged. The growth in the insurance segment was led by a 13% increase in our other liability business. In addition, professional liability was up approximately 9%, while worker's compensation, commercial automobile, property, and other short-tail lines declined a few percent on average quarter over quarter.

For the reinsurance segment, the increase in property's net premiums written largely offset the decline in casualty, resulting in total reinsurance net premiums written of approximately \$158 million. As Rob referenced in his comments, the reinsurance market continues to be competitive, and we have maintained our disciplined approach to deploying capital on a risk-adjusted basis.

Similar to recent prior quarters, our property reinsurance business has grown in large part due to structured reinsurance transactions, which have very limited cat exposure and carry a lower than average loss ratio, while being partially offset by higher profit commissions. As we have discussed on prior calls, these transactions have features that cap our loss exposure, and adjust commissions for experience.

Our overall pretax underwriting profits remained unchanged, at approximately \$97 million quarter over quarter. The accident year loss ratio before cat losses was 60.9%, compared with 61% a year ago, and comparable to full-year 2015. Our cat losses for the current quarter were \$12 million, or 0.8 loss points, compared to \$8 million or 0.5 loss points in the prior year.



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

Loss reserves developed favorably by \$13 million, representing our 39th consecutive quarter with positive development. That gives us a calendar year loss ratio of 60.9%, an increase of 0.4 loss points from a year ago. Our overall expense ratio for the third quarter was 33%, that's compared to 33.2% in the third quarter of 2015. In pure dollar terms, the underwriting expenses increased 2.8%, while net premiums earned increased 3.6% quarter over quarter.

The insurance segment expense ratio was 32.4%, representing a decline of 2/10 of a point from the third quarter of 2015, and slightly below the full year of 2015. Similar to the overall expense ratio, the decline in expense ratio for the insurance segment is attributed to a higher increase in earned premium, relative to underwriting expenses. The reinsurance segment expense ratio decreased 0.5 of a percentage point to 38.5%. That decrease was due primarily to higher earned premium, relative to underwriting expense.

Our net premiums earned increased 1.5% on relatively flat underwriting expenses. That brings our combined ratio to 93.9% for the third quarter of 2016, compared with 93.7% for the same quarter a year ago.

Investment income increased approximately \$12 million or 9.3% to \$146 million, resulting from a few main contributors. First, income from fixed income securities was up \$10 million to \$109 million, with an annualized yield of 3.3%, which rose slightly from the third quarter of 2015 of 3.2%. The most significant contributor to the growth in fixed income is a larger investment base. If you were to look quarter over quarter, our investment base increased by more than \$1 billion.

Second, income from the merger arbitrage account and investment funds increased \$4 million and \$2 million respectively, compared with the year-ago quarter. And finally, earnings from loans receivable declined \$3 million, resulting from the maturity and payoff of certain loans in the portfolio.

At September 30, 2016, after tax unrealized investment gains were \$315 million, representing an increase of \$134 million from the beginning of the year, or approximately 75%. The average rating was unchanged at AA-minus, and we shortened the portfolio from 3.3 years at December, 2015, to three years at September 30, 2016. The overall tax rate was 33.5%, which increased primarily due to the significant net investment gains in the quarter, much of which arose from the sale of Aero Precision Industries, which, just as a reminder, was not previously reflected in stockholders' equity.

On an operating earnings basis, the effective tax rate for the quarter was 30.2%, and year to date of 30.7%, which is comparable to the nine months ended September 2015. That gives us net income of \$221 million, an overall return on equity of 19.2% on an annualized basis, and for comparison purposes, a pre-tax return on equity of 28.8%. Also during the quarter, our book value per share increased \$0.51 to \$40.48, which is an increase of 5.1% on an annualized basis.

In addition, we returned capital to shareholders of approximately \$140 million through share repurchases of approximately 1.1 million shares, or \$62.4 million, as well as declared a special dividend of \$0.50 per share, above our ordinary dividend of \$0.13 per share. Our operating cash flows were strong, with \$394 million for the third quarter of 2016, and approximately \$725 million on a year-to-date basis for 2016.

One final comment regarding our investment in HealthEquity, we sold approximately 2.2 million shares in October and realized a pre-tax gain in the fourth quarter of approximately \$65 million. You may recall we have accounted for this investment under the equity method. Following the completion of the sale, we expect to begin reporting our investment in HealthEquity at fair value.

Based upon the sale of these shares, and the market price at September 30, 2016, we would expect book value to increase by approximately \$2 per share in the fourth quarter. Thanks, Rob.

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

Thank you, Rich. Karen, that completes our formal remarks, so if you could please open it up for questions.

OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Operator

(Operator Instructions)

Michael Nannizzi, Goldman Sachs.

Michael Nannizzi - *Goldman Sachs - Analyst*

So just one question I had, just to confirm, it was \$13 million of favorable development for the -- across both segments; is that correct?

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

Yes.

Michael Nannizzi - *Goldman Sachs - Analyst*

Okay. And then, so when I looked at the underlying combined, it was -- or the underlying loss ratio, that would take it to about [61], about flat from last year, and up a bit sequentially. How should we be thinking about -- is there anything that's different sort of from a book-of-business perspective, that would have caused a little lift up here, just compared to the prior couple of quarters? Obviously, we tend to look at things on a year-over-year basis, but it did pick up here from obviously the last three quarters. So maybe just a little bit of color clarity on that would be great.

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

Yes, Mike. We experienced what I would just define as some meaningful, as I suggested, short-tail losses, that are not our normal.

Michael Nannizzi - *Goldman Sachs - Analyst*

Okay.

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

An example of that would be a couple of good-sized, by our scale, primary losses, not overwhelming, but good size. But perhaps even more noteworthy, we had some exposure to SpaceX, which was the satellite that didn't get very airborne.

Michael Nannizzi - *Goldman Sachs - Analyst*

Got it, okay. That's helpful. Thanks. And do you have any indication on potential Matthew exposure in 4Q?

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

Honestly, we're reluctant to even put too much of a range on it from our perspective. Just because of the potential flood component, and how that will work out, and how insurance departments will choose to interpret certain things. But from our perspective, we view this as something that would affect our earnings, certainly not something by any stretch of the imagination that could ever affect capital. And the other piece is obviously the business interruption, as well. Again, we think it will be a manageable number, but I don't think would make sense to put one out right now.



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

Michael Nannizzi - *Goldman Sachs - Analyst*

Okay. Okay and then on the high net worth business, you talked a little bit about the start-up expenses there. Any further granularity on that, and what the outlay was, and what headwind to the expense ratio that created in the quarter?

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

Well, that's actually in the holding company expense, that's not in the expense ratio.

Michael Nannizzi - *Goldman Sachs - Analyst*

Oh, okay.

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

But as we were suggesting earlier, until a business is operational, our definition of operational is they're writing business. We hold those expenses at the holding company. Once they start writing business, you'll see that coming through obviously both in the expense ratio, as well as the loss ratio, et cetera.

Michael Nannizzi - *Goldman Sachs - Analyst*

Got it. And last real quick one, on the \$2 per share increase in 4Q book value. That's basically facilitated by the fact that you sold some of the shares, and that gets marked to market, and pretty much everything gets marked to market. But was that \$2 inclusive, I'm assuming it is, inclusive of the \$65 million pretax gain on the shares that are sold?

Rich Baio - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - SVP and CFO*

Yes, it is.

Michael Nannizzi - *Goldman Sachs - Analyst*

Okay, great, thank you.

Operator

Kai Pan, Morgan Stanley.

Kai Pan - *Morgan Stanley - Analyst*

So first question, on the reinsurance, you mentioned that, in your prepared remarks, two things. One is on the gross and the property reinsurance, talk a little bit more about that. And secondly, if you look at the whole segment, you had a 99.7% combined ratio the first nine months.

You mentioned, is that underwriting result satisfactory? And you mentioned the ceding commission, particular high. I just wondered, is that because the scale of the business that you're having? I just wonder what target or profitability you would like to see on the reinsurance segment?



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

Okay, so I think there were a couple of questions there. Let me try and take them one at a time, and if I miss one, please bring that to our attention. So as far as the growth that we saw, as you can see in the release, it was really in the property lines. And as Rich had mentioned earlier, some of that comes from structured transactions, where perhaps the available margin is limited.

But given that the loss ratio is effectively capped, our down side is limited, and consequently that allows us to take a different approach on the capital allocation than we would with traditional business. In addition to that, a couple of quarters or so ago, we had announced how had we started an operation to write global property FAC, non-US, if you will, and that's contributing to some of the growth, as well.

As far as the results go, no, we do not think that the results for the quarter are our target. I think that the difference between our results and some other people's results are, first of all, we do not write a meaningful amount of cat, and that's by design. In you look at a significant amount of the profitability that the reinsurance market today is experiencing, it's through, as a result of a benign cat environment, people release the cat load, and all of a sudden, not such a pretty picture, looks more rosy than it probably is, if you peel a few layers back of the situation.

Having said that, when we look at it, the casualty front, for example, and overall our loss ratios, the loss ratios quite frankly, are they ideal? No. Are they acceptable? Generally speaking, yes. The problem is the ceding commission, if you lump that in with the acquisition cost, that makes the model less attractive than what we would like it to be, and that's certainly something that my colleagues are aware of, they're sensitive to, and are focused on.

Kai Pan - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

It's only like about 10% of your overall portfolio.

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

Yes.

Kai Pan - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

I just wonder, is that the scale you want?

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

For us, whether it be the insurance business or whether it be the reinsurance business, we're in business to make money, to make good risk-adjusted returns. We're not in business to issue insurance policies, or treaties if you will, in the reinsurance space, or certs for that matter. So our review is, that if there are opportunities to make good risk-adjusted returns, we're happy for the business to grow.

If it would seem as though that's not the case, then we will shrink the business. I would suggest to you though, when you think about returns, combined ratio is not necessarily a perfect indicator, particularly when you talk about structured transactions, when the capital allocation is not consistent with what is a traditional capital charge might be.



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

Kai Pan - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Okay, that's good. And second question, on the alternative asset portfolio, you're going to realize \$400 million, of gains on the HealthEquity holding. Actually, you this becoming to report its fair value on base one, also the sale of the Aero Precision Industries, are there others or major holdings in the alternative portfolio that are not currently marked to market?

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

Yes.

Kai Pan - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

And how big is it?

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

Meaningful.

Kai Pan - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Okay. All right.

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

If you're asking for a number, I don't think that's something that we could give. But this is an ongoing process, where we are constantly receding while we're simultaneously harvesting. But also as we've discussed in the past, it can be lumpy at times.

So as our Chairman has suggested, on average, you should expect, give or take \$25 million a quarter. There'll be moments in time when it's meaningfully more than that, and there may be periods of time that we go through, where there won't be gains that are realized. But our Chairman and our colleagues are focused on creating value.

Kai Pan - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

So given the realizing the gains, do you find additional investment opportunities, or do you think it's more for shareholder returns?

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

I'm sorry, could you repeat the question? I beg your pardon?

Kai Pan - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Yes, given the sort of realized gains, you are harvesting gains on prior investments.

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

Yes.



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

Kai Pan - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Are you reinvesting in other opportunities on the investment side, or could that be used for capital returns?

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

The answer is that we will be looking for new opportunities. If the opportunities exist, we will take advantage of those. To the extent that the organization has excess capital, we will return the capital to shareholders in as efficient a way as possible, one way or another. Our goal is not to have excess capital. Having said that, if there are good opportunities to put money to work, we will do so.

Kai Pan - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

Okay, that's great. Lastly, a broader picture question. You mentioned in your prepared remarks about the significant change facing in the industry going forward. And how do you think about your decentralized structure? What's the pros and cons in dealing with these structural changes? Are you going to start new company, fixing it, or your underlying business can decide, is it a top-down approach or is it a bottom-up approach?

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

I think fundamentally, the world is moving in a direction where they are looking for value, and their definition of value is evolving over time. A cornerstone for value yesterday, today, and in all likelihood tomorrow, is expertise. The way we are structured, it lends itself to attracting, embracing, and leveraging expertise, and in a manner that customers can find true value.

So I think our structure, while it has had to evolve over time, it will continue to evolve. Fundamentally, our structure will lend itself quite well to the evolving environment.

Kai Pan - Morgan Stanley - Analyst

That's great. Thank you so much for all the answers.

Operator

Arash Soleimani, KBW.

Arash Soleimani - Keefe, Bruyette & Woods - Analyst

Just wanted to confirm on the net investment income, the core portfolio, did you say, the year-over-year jump there was most mostly attributable to the asset base increasing by \$1 billion?

Rich Baio - W. R. Berkley Corporation - SVP and CFO

No, Arash our fixed income portfolio increased by about \$1 billion of investable assets. And that is large contributor to the increase in our investment income quarter over quarter. Yes.



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

Arash Soleimani - Keefe, Bruyette & Woods - Analyst

Okay, thanks. And the comment you made earlier in your prepared remarks about distribution and carriers needing to work together, so is the point there something that we should read into regarding some kind of almost direct distribution, that's going to become more common on the commercial front?

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

I think that the point of the comment was nothing more than an observation about the environment around us. The fact is, there's not that much new to talk about us, so to speak, every 90 days. The world isn't changing in how we operate day to day, every 90 days. But the environment that we operate in, this industry is evolving, and it is going to evolve more and more over time, and we're conscious of it, we're trying to make appropriate plans for that, and that's really where it starts and ends.

But it was not meant to be some leading comment, that you're going to see some announcement from us tomorrow, taking the business in a radically different direction. Rather, it's a recognition that the world is changing, the industry needs to change, and we're part of that.

Arash Soleimani - Keefe, Bruyette & Woods - Analyst

Okay, thanks. And lastly, you mentioned again, as you have for a few quarters, that commercial auto is declining faster than meets the eye. But you had also mentioned I think that commercial auto is looking a bit better, and could have some bright spots in it? Just wanted to weigh those two comments.

Rob Berkley - W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO

I think that better can be -- it's a comment that can be made in a relative manner. So I think the fact is that commercial auto found itself, as a product line, in a very deep hole. And the idea that a certain just one-off percent rate increase is going to fix that, I think is a pipe dream.

And we can all read in places like the Wall Street Journal about trucking whinging over rate increases, but the fact is, most folks that are writing their insurance haven't been making any money, in fact they haven't been getting paid appropriately for the risk, whatsoever. So, do I think that the situation is improving? Yes.

Do I think that it is still challenged? Without a doubt. But it is heading hopefully -- well, it is heading in a better direction. And again that's why we are getting meaningful rate increases, but you see the line still shrinking as far as premium, because our account or exposure is going down dramatically, in spite of the rate increases we're getting.

Arash Soleimani - Keefe, Bruyette & Woods - Analyst

Okay, thank you very much for the answers.

Operator

Thank you. Ryan Tunis, Credit Suisse.

Ryan Tunis - Credit Suisse - Analyst

My first one is around Aero Precision, and just thinking about whatever potential lost earnings are -- that we've lost from that sale. And it looks like, on my model, I show wholly-owned earnings having come down from like a \$7 million quarterly run rate to about a \$1.3 million quarterly run rate,



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

and I am wondering if that's the right run rate to use going forward, given the sale of Aero Precision, or if it was lower or higher for whatever reason, just this quarter.

Rich Baio - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - SVP and CFO*

I think one thing you need to keep in mind here is that the business can be bumpy. Some of the business that's been still with us is the purchasing and selling of aircraft, as well. Small aircraft. And to that end, we could have earnings come through in one quarter that amount to much more than other quarters. And as a result of that, it's hard for us to really give you a specific number to include in your model, to project for that.

Ryan Tunis - *Credit Suisse - Analyst*

Okay. So may have been seasonally a little bit light. And my other question, I guess, is just on squaring Rob's comments about the environment becoming a little more competitive, opportunities for growth being a little more difficult, and also, the longer term objective of trying to bring down the expense ratio. I'm just curious if -- should we look at, I guess the lower single digit NPW growth as making -- the objective of bringing down the expense ratio more difficult, in the near to medium term?

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

No. I would not lead to that conclusion at all. I think that first of all, I don't think that we as an organization are of the view that our growth rate for the foreseeable future will remain at this level. I think it can change from quarter to quarter. In addition to that, beyond what we've talked about, as far as high net worth that we have announced, we have some other things that we have been working on, that we have not announced, which I think over time will make a considerable contribution.

I don't know what the market conditions will be tomorrow. So it's hard for me to predict exactly what the growth rate will be, but I'm not prepared to make the leap that it sounds like you're suggesting, that it will be low single-digit growth going forward. It may or it may not. But again we have certain things that we haven't announced which we think could offset some of the market conditions.

Number two, from our perspective, while there are parts of the market, the reinsurance market being probably the best example, that are particularly challenging, there are still lots of opportunities. So one of the benefits of our structure, and our 52 different operating units, most of these businesses, compared to industry scale, have a lot of runway, have a lot of opportunity. So again, I would not count out the growth.

As far as the specifics around the expense, certainly, growth helps offset that, but I don't think that's the only solution. As far as expenses go, we do have some initiatives as to how we can become more efficient. Sometimes that will require us to take one step back in order to take two steps forward.

Lastly, just on the topic of expense, because it's one that we all do pay attention to, the expense ratio for a specialty company of a 32% to a 33% may not be ideal. But it's really not that -- it's really what I would define as quite competitive. True, if we were a national carrier with a multi-billion dollar personal lines platform, and we could have that bring down our average expense ratio, that would be helpful. But if you look at fact that we're in the specialty commercial lines business, that expense ratio, we think, is quite competitive.

And as it relates to the reinsurance, and it ties back in with the comment earlier, the internal expenses, if you will, are by and large flat, and actually flat with what they were some number of years ago. It really had to do with acquisition costs, and ceding commission, that is putting pressure on that model.

So lots of moving pieces. I understand why you may raise the question. May prove to be as you suggest. But I'm not, at least in my mind, and I believe others on this end, we haven't reached that -- any conclusion as far as growth going forward, and what that means for expenses.



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

Ryan Tunis - *Credit Suisse - Analyst*

All right. I really appreciate that answer, that was very thorough, thanks. That's all for me.

Operator

Jay Cohen, Bank of America.

Jay Cohen - *BofA Merrill Lynch - Analyst*

I got two questions. I guess first for Rich, the \$2 per share in book value, is that \$2 is only coming from the investment side? You're not including operating or underlying earnings in that number, are you?

Rich Baio - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - SVP and CFO*

You're correct, Jay, that's right. It's only the gain from the sale of the 2.2 million shares, net of tax, and then the pick-up for the unrealized gain that will come through in the fourth quarter, net of tax.

Jay Cohen - *BofA Merrill Lynch - Analyst*

Got it. That's helpful. And then I guess for Rob, as you think about all these potential changes over the next five to seven years, do you feel you have the right type of people in the organization? Will you have to hire additional expertise to really take advantage of some of these changes?

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

Well, I do think that we have the right type of people, because going back to the comment earlier about expertise, certainly there will be changes. But the cornerstone of how value is truly brought to customers, we think is in intellectual capital and expertise, and knowledge around exposure, and helping customers manage through that risk transfer experience. So do I think that we have the right people? Without a doubt. Having said that, will we have to continue to invest in people, and build our team going forward as the environment changes? Clearly.

Jay Cohen - *BofA Merrill Lynch - Analyst*

All right, thanks for those answers.

Operator

(Operator Instructions)

Ian Gutterman, Balyasny.

Ian Gutterman - *Balyasny Asset Management LP - Analyst*

A couple, just housekeeping ones, and then a broader question. Just to follow up on the HealthEquity, just to be clear, so the reason your account is changing is because your sale brought you down 20%, and that triggered the change; is that right?



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

Correct.

Ian Gutterman - *Balyasny Asset Management LP - Analyst*

Okay, and is there -- are you signalling anything by this sale, that your intention is to reduce the stake at some measured pace over time? Or this is a one-time thing and we shouldn't expect anything further over the next year or two?

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

I think you should assume that there is no signal one way or the other.

Ian Gutterman - *Balyasny Asset Management LP - Analyst*

Perfect. That's what I was wondering. Okay. And then do you have the paid loss ratio for the quarter handy?

Rich Baio - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - SVP and CFO*

I do. It's 53.4% for the quarter.

Ian Gutterman - *Balyasny Asset Management LP - Analyst*

Great, thanks. And then my big-picture question is, I'm sure you saw some of the earnings releases from last week.

And I know Bill, obviously, you've been very vigilant over the years, talking about watching loss inflation, and given the results from some of your competitors, and just broader headlines out there, and just, where this election may be headed, are you starting to get more nervous? Either Bill or Rob or both about the [process] for loss inflation? And specifically, I guess I'm thinking more severity than frequency. It feels like maybe we're starting to see the beginnings of favorable juries, like we did in the late 1990s.

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

Well, I have a thought or two on that but I'm going to yield to our Chairman here to update you on his views.

Bill Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - Executive Chairman*

I think that clearly, we have a country divided by extremes and views that are in conflict. And I think there's lots of stresses and pressures. But there's also beginning to be a recognition that there's no free lunch. That someone is going to pay for everything that comes about.

I think the last time we had runaway juries, there was a general sense that this was free money, and it all came from heaven. I think there's now a reality, reinforced by the issues of increased premiums from the Affordable Care Act, that someone pays somehow or another for everything. So I'm not particularly worried about runaway juries.

I am concerned about inflation, because ultimately, the only solution to repayment of deficits for governments is inflation. And inflation in the short-run will put pressure on insurance pricing. Although with property casualty insurance companies have done better in times of inflation than in non-inflationary times.



OCTOBER 25, 2016 / 9:00PM, WRB - Q3 2016 W. R. Berkley Corp Earnings Call

So overall I'm okay with where we're going. And I -- in the short run, there may be some pressures as we change over to probably a more inflationary time. But in the intermediate term, I think that's probably good for the business.

Ian Gutterman - *Balyasny Asset Management LP - Analyst*

Got it. Okay, that's all I had. Thank you.

Operator

Thank you, and that concludes our question-and-answer session for today. I would like to turn the conference back over to Rob Berkley for any closing comments.

Rob Berkley - *W. R. Berkley Corporation - President and COO*

Karen, thank you very much. And thank you, everyone, for calling in. From our perspective as suggested earlier, the marketplace is in the early stages of a transition, and we think that it's important that people not misinterpret that.

Yes, there are parts of the market that are becoming more competitive. Having said that, there are a tremendous number of opportunities for an organization like ours. Clearly, there is a transition that is occurring in how the industry operates, both how it will serve its customers in the future, as well as how the relationship between capital and expertise exists, and how it evolves from what it was in the past.

We think that our structure, our philosophy focused on people, their intellectual capital, and expertise. We think our model of a decentralized structure and being closer to the marketplace is going to lend itself very well to these changing times.

Again, from our perspective, we think that we're well situated to capitalize on the changes that are coming in the marketplace's way. And we would expect that this will inure to the benefit of our shareholders over time. Thank you all, and we'll talk to you next quarter.

Operator

Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your participation in today's conference. This does conclude the program, and you may now disconnect. Everyone, have a good day.

DISCLAIMER

Thomson Reuters reserves the right to make changes to documents, content, or other information on this web site without obligation to notify any person of such changes.

In the conference calls upon which Event Transcripts are based, companies may make projections or other forward-looking statements regarding a variety of items. Such forward-looking statements are based upon current expectations and involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those stated in any forward-looking statement based on a number of important factors and risks, which are more specifically identified in the companies' most recent SEC filings. Although the companies may indicate and believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove inaccurate or incorrect and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the results contemplated in the forward-looking statements will be realized.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN EVENT TRANSCRIPTS IS A TEXTUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE APPLICABLE COMPANY'S CONFERENCE CALL AND WHILE EFFORTS ARE MADE TO PROVIDE AN ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION, THERE MAY BE MATERIAL ERRORS, OMISSIONS, OR INACCURACIES IN THE REPORTING OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE CONFERENCE CALLS. IN NO WAY DOES THOMSON REUTERS OR THE APPLICABLE COMPANY ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY INVESTMENT OR OTHER DECISIONS MADE BASED UPON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON THIS WEB SITE OR IN ANY EVENT TRANSCRIPT. USERS ARE ADVISED TO REVIEW THE APPLICABLE COMPANY'S CONFERENCE CALL ITSELF AND THE APPLICABLE COMPANY'S SEC FILINGS BEFORE MAKING ANY INVESTMENT OR OTHER DECISIONS.

©2016, Thomson Reuters. All Rights Reserved.